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Abstract

Developers of mobile applications are facing the question of how information can be visualized on mobile devices in an effective way. While modern mobile-based web browsers can support the development of interactive web-based visualizations, native mobile applications can currently deliver significantly better performance in terms of speed and scalability in the volume of data.

However, due to limited resources available on mobile devices such as power supply, available screen size and the capabilities of the operating system, desktop applications can not be readily ported to mobile applications without accounting for these limitations. For example, although the Android mobile operating system is based on Java, it does not support Java applications that use the built-in Java graphical libraries. When writing an application for visualizing abstract data on Android-based devices the question occurred, whether there are alternative graphical libraries satisfying the needs or whether it is necessary to implement this functionality.

A search on the topic of information visualization on mobile devices revealed that it is a relatively new and active topic, with many software libraries and expert discussions being dedicated to it. In this work, I first survey available information visualization libraries for developing Java-based Android mobile applications. I then summarize key features of the libraries including supported chart types, possible interaction with the charts such as zooming or panning and list key figures reported by the library provider such as the number of downloads and the number of mobile applications that use the library. As a result interested developers get an overview of these libraries along with a comparison of the key features and figures. Based on this overview, I select five libraries and conduct a detailed performance test by measuring rendering time and memory usage on datasets having different sizes. Both measures should be taken into consideration when choosing a library for visualizing desired volumes of data on mobile devices. This is because these devices might impose limitations on memory and computational resources available for mobile applications.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

The modern society is confronted with a data explosion. Stock trading at stock exchanges, enormous simulations on supercomputers and devices like MRI-scanners lead to a large amount of data [van Wijk, 2005]. Understanding abstract data is difficult. [Purchase et al., 2008] mention that computer graphics and interaction can enhance the cognition of abstract data. Visualizing information with graphics has the advantage that the abstract data will be represented not only verbally but also in a second, pictorial way. In many ways a graphic communicates more information than many words [Tversky et al., 2002]. Visualization has a growing role in a variety of domain because it helps people to understand problems easier and make faster and better decisions [Chittaro, 2006]. [Tversky et al., 2002] shows that an effective way of expressing processes could be animation. [Tversky et al., 2002] also mentions that animation is a change over time and that there are many different ways how this occurs from i.e. the simple movement of a dot to a movement of the part of an object or system with respect to each other.

Information visualization can be created in various ways, e.g. with the use of commercial programs like Microsoft Excel or Tableau, self-implemented programs or using programming language specific libraries. Additionally, a variety of software libraries are available to allow the development of interactive visualizations as part of a software system.

The prognosis of [Gartner, 2013] shows that the shipment of devices with Android operating system triple from 2012 to 2017. The shipment of PCs (desktop systems and notebooks) decreases on the contrary. For this reason, mobile devices such as tablets, mobile phones and ultra-mobile PCs gaining increasing importance in the software industry.

Mobile devices come with limitations for the software. There is a difference between information visualization on mobile and traditional devices. According to [Chittaro, 2006] and [Yoo and Cheon, 2006] there are hardware restrictions such as screen size, height/width ratio of the screen, CPU power and input devices. In addition to the hardware restrictions there are limitations imposed by mobile operating systems, especially with Android. Google follows his strategy to use a common-programming language but not supporting the whole development kit. In the case of Android the Android-SDK is missing the AWT- and Swing-classes from the Java development kit (JDK). These are basic classes for information visualization with Java. [Delap,]
Libraries used on desktop-systems cannot be used on Android devices but mobile platforms using the Java-SDK for mobile devices (Java-ME) are able to.

We are facing the question whether or not these Java libraries will meet the needs of information visualization on mobile devices on Android. Do existing charting and visualization libraries fit the needs for data visualization on mobile devices? This work gives an overview of existing libraries and their key figures to make better decisions to choose a library which is appropriate for the requirements of a given application.

The next chapter introduces some related work from the information visualization domain. Chapter 3 describes the methodology followed in this work, in particular, how available libraries were found and compared which libraries were selected for detailed performance analysis. Chapter 4 gives overview of found libraries and a detailed description and comparison of these libraries. Chapter 5 reports the results of detailed performance test was performed for selected libraries, along with discussion of these results. Chapter 6 concludes with summary of the work, lessons learned, and future challenges in Java-based mobile visualizations.
Related Work

Interactive visualization in web browser based applications is the focus of [Lammarsch et al., 2008]. Several Technologies such as Java, Silverlight and Flash are compared against each other on criteria such as animation, interactivity and future perspective. The study was conducted on standard PCs without accounting for the restrictions that come with mobile devices (as mentioned in Chapter 1). One of the main results is that there is no general solution. Each programming platform has its advantages and disadvantages. Simply porting visualizations from desktop computers to mobile devices is impossible due to the limitations of mobile devices [Chittaro, 2006]. The following papers focus on the design and enhancement of information presentation on mobile devices. [Chittaro, 2006] depicts that the design process of visualizations on mobile devices differs from the traditional visualization because of the restrictions on mobile devices. The focus is how to design more effective visualizations and to avoid failures. Different information types have different needs so the information types handled in five mobile visualization classes (text, pictures, maps, physical objects and abstract data). [Yoo and Cheon, 2006] also show that the aspects of visualization distinguish on the type of information to visualize. The authors define three conditions for effective information processing. First, choose a layout based on the information type and an effective use of the limited screen. Second, use visualization algorithms optimized for mobile devices. Third, use animations for better recognition of information change.

Information visualization with Java on mobile devices is a quite new area. This is why much information on this topic has been published in forums, blogs or conventional websites. One example is a popular entry on a question and answer (Q&A) website is [StackOverflow, 2012]. The page is a discussion about good graphing packages for Android. Information about libraries was posted and the libraries are ranked. The solution supported by the questioner called RapidAndroid [Dimagi, 2009] has a description that the library is an implementation of a SMS gateway (send and receive SMS without mobile device). For this reason, it was not feasible for us to test this library, and there were no additional information about charts on the product page. Therefore, this library is not considered.
Several other addressing similar questions such as [Reddit 2013], often refer to [StackOverflow 2012].
Method

At the start of the project we decided to describe and compare the libraries based on information published on product sites. The search started with academic search engines Google-Scholar and CiteSeerX. The following terms were used as search keywords:

- graphics
- charts
- Android
- library

The result was not satisfying because it’s a relatively new area with few related results. The next step consisted of searching the web with the same keywords using Google as a search engine. One notable search result was the (Q&A) page described in Chapter 2 [StackOverflow, 2012]. A variety of Java-based charting libraries for mobile devices were proposed by various contributors to the discussion on this page. These libraries, along with other ones returned by our search have been collected and analyzed for relevance to this work based on the following criteria:

1. Popularity of the library
   A large number of downloads and mobile applications using the library is an indication of user acceptance

2. License / price
   Is the library commercial or distributed under an open source license? If the library was commercial the price was noted, and the possibility of a testing a trial version is reported

3. Last activity
   Is the Library still under development? This is measured by the existence of new releases, software fixes, and feature enhancements
4. Charts
   Which chart types are supported

5. Interactivity
   Is interaction with the chart possible? Example interactions are zooming and panning

6. Additional information
   This includes special features provided by the product page that are worth considering, such as capability of GPU rendering

In the next step some libraries were picked based on the information on number of charts and the reported performance of the libraries. For each library a performance test with parallel coordinates chart with a large amount of lines was created and the results were compared according to the following criteria:

1. Duration
   Time to render the chart

2. Memory usage
   Memory used by the app with and without drawing the lines

3. Lines of Code
   Number of lines of code to draw the chart

Chapter 5 outlines major advantages and disadvantages of the libraries selected for performance test.
This section presents the results of the research on visualization libraries for mobile devices. The results are compared in the next table to give an overview. The table is ordered descending by the number of downloads and the number of supported chart types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>License / price from</th>
<th>Last activity</th>
<th>Number of charts</th>
<th>Interactivity</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AChartEngine</td>
<td>Version 1.1: 40.742 downloads</td>
<td>Apache License v2.0</td>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Version 1.0: 26.510 downloads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total: 3.000 mobile applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>charts4j</td>
<td>Version 1.3: 21.026 downloads</td>
<td>MIT License</td>
<td>January 2011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>Uses Google charts API. Calculation in the cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androidplot</td>
<td>Version 0.6: Total: 500 mobile applications</td>
<td>Apache License v2.0</td>
<td>September 2014</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pan</td>
<td>supports dynamic and static charts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFreeChart</td>
<td>Version 0.0.4: 10.237 downloads</td>
<td>GNU LGPL</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Based on JFreeChart 1.0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chartdroid</td>
<td>Version 2.0: 8.849 downloads Total: 5 mobile applications</td>
<td>Apache License v2.0</td>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphView</td>
<td>Version 4.0: Total: 26 mobile applications</td>
<td>GNU LGPL</td>
<td>December 2014</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zoom</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowden</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Apache License v2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droidcharts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GNU LGPL</td>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Attempt to port JFreeChart to Android</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the next two sections, the libraries are described in detail with additional information and screenshots of example applications. Section 4.1 describes open-source libraries while Section 4.2 describe commercial ones. Later the libraries will be handled in detail with additional information and screenshots of example applications.

4.1 Open source libraries

License

All analyzed libraries have been published under one of the following open-source licenses:

1. Apache License v2.0
   Code is free for use and modification. Modifications do not need to be released. Proprietary parts do not need to be under Apache license. Proprietary Parts based on code under Apache license must contain a copy of the license.

2. GNU Lesser General Public License
   Code is free for use and modification. Modifications have to be released. The LGPL-Code needs to be modifiable by the end users. If direct in proprietary software, the software has to be released. Using the code in form of a shared library (e.g. DLL) separates the LGPL-Code clearly from the proprietary software parts and the proprietary part does not have to be released.

3. MIT License
   Code is free for use and modification. Modifications do not need to be released.
AChartEngine

[AChartEngine][2015] is the widely distributed library. 3,000 mobile applications use this library. Twelve kinds of charts are supported and the interactions zoom and pan are possible. In the last three years two new versions have been released. This indicates that further updates of the library are likely. Figure [4.1] shows examples for three charts: a line chart, a range chart and a combination of line and area chart.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- doughnut chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
- area chart
- time chart
- bubble chart
- range (high-low) bar chart
- dial chart / gauge
- combined (any combination of chart)
- cubic line chart
Figure 4.1: A line chart, a range bar chart and a combined chart generated using AChartEngine [AChartEngine, 2015]

charts4j

[charts4j, 2013] uses the Google charts API to create charts. This means that an internet connection is necessary to create a visualization. The library supports many features of the Google chart tools, which are deprecated. Eight different types of charts are possible. Last change occurred approximately four years ago. It’s possible that no new enhancements are planned. Figure 4.2 shows a radar chart and some basic line and bar charts.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
- radar chart
- XY chart
- map chart
- Venn chart
Figure 4.2: A line chart, a pie chart, and radar chart generated using charts4j and calculated in the cloud [charts4j, 2013]

Androidplot

[Androidplot, 2013] is used in over 500 mobile applications and supports dynamic and static charts. With zooming and panning they have two interactivity features mentioned on their page. The latest release was in September 2014. The library is quite up to date but in version 0.6 which can indicate that the work on the library is not finished yet. Figure 4.3 shows a line, pie and a bar chart.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
- step chart
Chartdroid

Chartdroid [2015] supports five chart types. According to its webpage, it has over 8,000 downloads but only five known mobile applications use this library. Interactivity is not supported. The last activity is from November 2010. Figure 4.4 shows some examples provided by the product page.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- doughnut chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
Figure 4.4: Line and pie chart generated with Chartdroid [Chartdroid, 2015]

AFreeChart

[AFreeChart, 2011] is based on JFreeChart 1.0.13. It supports many of the features of JFreeChart but not all. Eight chart types are supported. No information could be found about interactivity. Since April 2012 there has been no further activity. The Library is in Version 0.0.4. The perspective of the library is hard to predict. JFreeChart will be enhanced [JFreeChart, 2011] so it’s possible that new features will be ported to AFreeChart. Figure 4.5 shows a special type of chart: a vector chart. Additional candlestick and pie charts are shown. Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
- area chart
- candlestick chart
- vector chart
- combined charts (any combination of the above charts)
GraphView

[Geirng, 2015] allows interactivity by zooming. The library only supports two types of charts. The last release was in August 2013. That means, that the library is relatively new. Figure 4.6 shows a line and a bar chart provided by the product page.

Supported charts:
- line chart
- bar chart

Snowdon

Little information could be found on the webpage of [Snowdon, 2015]. The library supports different types of charts such as histogram and heat maps. Figure 4.7 shows a heat map generated.
using Snowdon and a line and scatter chart. Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- area chart
- scatter chart
- histogram
- heat map

Figure 4.7: Line chart, heat map and scatter chart generated using Snowdon [Snowdon, 2015]

Droidcharts [Droidcharts, 2015] is another attempt to port JFreeChart [JFreeChart, 2011] to the Android platform. Five chart types are supported. The latest activity was in November 2010 means that AFreeChart is a two year younger attempt with more functionality. No screen-shots were found. Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
HoloGraphLibrary

[Nadeau, 2013] is a new library and started in February 2013. The last activity was in April 2014. Currently the library supports three types of charts but because it’s a new library updates should bring further charts. Figure 4.8 shows sample charts provided by the product page. Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie chart

Figure 4.8: A line chart, a pie chart and bar chart generated using HoloGraphLibrary [Nadeau, 2013]

Processing

[Processing, 2015] is a programming language, development environment and online community are available. The development of Processing started in 2001. With version 2.0 they introduced an Android and a JavaScript mode. The Android version of Processing is still in Beta
state. In contrast to the other libraries Processing is not a charting library. It provides graphics from the sketch. Charts must be implemented by the developer. Figure 4.9 shows sample graphics provided by the product page.

Supported graphical objects:

- 2D primitives
- 3D primitives
- (Bezier-)Curves

![Figure 4.9: 3D, vector and bezier graphics created with Processing](image)

### 4.2 Commercial libraries

The commercial libraries do not report the number of downloads and mobile applications that use the library. Only basic information such as chart types are made public.

**RChart**

[Java4Less, 2015] is the cheapest of the commercial libraries. It supports zooming and panning and is the only library with 3D charts (line, bar and pie). A demo version is available. Figure 4.10 shows a 3D line chart, gauge chart and a multiaxes chart.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie chart
- scatter chart
- area chart
- gauge chart
- bubble chart
- radar chart
- multiaxes chart
- candlestick chart
- curve chart
- event chart
- stacked axis chart
- stacked bar chart

Price: starting with 45.5$ for a single binary license as of April 2015.

Figure 4.10: A 3D line chart, a gauge chart and a multiaxes chart generated using RChart [Java4Less, 2015]

aiCharts

[ArtfulBits, 2015] is the second most expensive library. A large amount of chart types are available as well as zooming and panning. Two Versions 1.7 and 2.0 beta are available. The library can be tested with a trial version. Figure 4.11 shows screen-shots of the demo with different charts on one side.

Supported charts:

- line chart
• bar chart
• pie chart
• spline chart
• area chart
• point chart
• bubble chart
• polar chart
• column chart
• doughnut chart
• candlestick chart
• funnel chart
• pyramid chart
• rose chart

Price: 299$ developer license as of April 2015.

**Figure 4.11:** Diverse charts from the aiChart Demo [ArtfulBits 2015]
ShinobiCharts

[Controls, 2015] has nine types of charts. The license cost is the highest. The library utilizes the GPU for rendering the charts and should be very fast. Trial version can be downloaded. Figure 4.12 shows a chart on a tablet and on a mobile phone.

Supported charts:

- line chart
- bar chart
- pie donut chart
- scatter chart
- column chart
- histogram
- area chart
- stacked chart
- step chart

Price: 995$ per developer

Figure 4.12: Line and bar chart on tablet and mobile phone with ShinobiCharts [Controls, 2015]

Android chart library

[NiftyMobileApps, 2015] supports six chart types and is released in version 1.0. It has the fewest number of supported charts but is much cheaper than ShinobiChart and aiCharts. The demo app is available in the Google play store. Figure 4.13 shows some sample charts provided by the product page.

Supported charts:
• line chart
• bar chart
• pie chart
• area chart
• point chart
• column chart

Price: 99$ developer license

Figure 4.13: Line, area and bar chart with Android chart library [NiftyMobileApps, 2015]

4.3 Discussion

In the sections above we get an overview of existing libraries. This overview is sorted by number of downloads and mobile applications that use the library but which libraries are best in other criteria e.g. number of chart types and time performance.

Number of charts

This section presents the results of number of supported chart types. The results are compared in the next table to give an overview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Bar</th>
<th>Pie</th>
<th>Doughnut</th>
<th>Scatter</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Bubble</th>
<th>Other charts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AChartEngine</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>time range (high-low) bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dial / gauge cubic line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>combined chart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>charts4j</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>XY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vehn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The commercial libraries RChart and aiCharts have, with 14, the most chart types. This libraries are followed by the open source library AChartEngine with 12. An advantage of the two commercial libraries is that both have the highest number of charts and support zooming and panning whereas AChartEngine has fewer charts and supports zooming and panning.

**Time performance**

The only charting library that delegates the calculations to the GPU is ShinobiCharts. Because of that this library should be the fastest. charts4j calculates the charts in the cloud which can be fast, especially for a large amount of data, but only if the connection to the cloud is fast enough. The Processing library uses OpenGL for faster rendering. The other libraries do not provide any information about the speed. They could only be evaluated with a benchmark.
**Interactivity**

Only a few libraries allow interaction with the charts. From the open source libraries these are AChartEngine, Androidplot, charts4j, GraphView and Processing. Among the commercial libraries, both aiCharts and RChart support interaction. The commercial libraries are in advantage because they support more chart types with zooming and panning. Androidplot supports panning and zooming but only 5 types of charts. GraphView supports panning and zooming but only in line and bar charts. In AChartEngine zoom and pan are available. charts4j and Processing allow zooming.

**Future perspective of the open source libraries**

The future of the commercial libraries will be left out because of the lack of their usage details (number of downloads and number of mobile applications using them). We estimate they will be enhanced in the future by the respective commercial companies. Among open-source libraries AChartEngine, Androidplot, GraphView and HoloGraphLibrary all have their last activity in the last two years and Processing has shown activity in 2015. We estimate these libraries will continue to be enhanced and supported. The last activities in Droidcharts, Chartdroid and charts4j were about four years ago. We therefore estimate that the libraries will not be used actively in the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Libraries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of charts</td>
<td>RChart, aiCharts, AChartEngine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time performance</td>
<td>ShinobiCharts, charts4j, Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactivity</td>
<td>aiCharts, RChart, Androidplot, GraphView, charts4j, AChartEngine, Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good future perspective</td>
<td>AChartEngine, Androidplot, GraphView, HoloGraphLibrary, Processing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.3:** Overview of the Categories with most applicable libraries
Comparison of Libraries Performance

Based on the results of chapter 4, the following libraries are compared against each other:

- AChartEngine
- Androidplot
- AFreeChart
- ShinobiCharts
- Processing

The libraries were picked based on the numbers of charts supported (AChartEngine, Androidplot, AFreeChart) or based on reported high performance (ShinobiCharts, Processing).

The comparison is based on a performance test, the measurement of the memory usage, the lines of code used to draw a chart and a list of advantages and disadvantages for every library.

5.1 Experiment

The performance criteria rendering duration and memory usage are measured while rendering a varying number of lines in a line chart to simulate a parallel coordinate chart. The dataset contains 5,000 records. Each library has to render an increasing number of lines.

Mobile applications will be created to run the tests on the mobile device. Each application should work similar and distinguish only in the library specific part.

The device used to measure the performance has the following specifications:

- Qualcomm MSM8226 Snapdragon 400 @ 4x 1.20GHz Cortex-A7
- GPU Adreno 305
- 1GB RAM
• Android 4.4.2
• Processing

5.2 Duration

The first criteria for comparison is the rendering duration in milliseconds. Each library renders a line chart with varying numbers of lines. The measurements starts with 1,000 lines and ends with 5,000. The step size is 500 lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Number of lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AChartEngine</td>
<td>1.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFreeChart</td>
<td>1.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Rendering duration in milliseconds of varying number of lines between four libraries (ShinobiCharts is excluded as it fails to handle large number of lines)

Figure 5.1: Comparison of rendering duration of varying number of lines between four libraries (ShinobiCharts is excluded as it fails to handle large number of lines)

The measurement results in the table and figure 5.2 show that the rendering duration of AFreeChart increases dramatically. AChartEngine levels the duration between 1.5 and 2.5 seconds. The rendering time with Processing and Androidplot increases nearly linearly with the number of lines. ShinobiCharts is not shown in this chart because rendering 90 lines needs more
than 15 seconds. Because of this the ShinobiCharts test only measures the difference between using hardware acceleration and not using it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Number of lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 1</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 2</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 4</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 5</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without 1</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without 2</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without 3</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without 4</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without 5</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.2:** Rendering duration in milliseconds of varying number of lines with and without hardware acceleration in ShinobiCharts

**Figure 5.2:** Rendering duration with and without hardware acceleration in ShinobiCharts

Figure 5.2 shows the difference between drawing the chart with hardware acceleration and without it. The measurements shows no significant difference between hardware acceleration enabled or disabled.
5.3 Memory usage

This section shows the results of the comparison of the memory usage of the in section 5.1 described applications. The memory usage is measured for each step of number of lines while running the test scenario described in section 5.2. For comparison the values of the garbage collector (‘GC_FOR_ALLOC’) were extracted from the log-files. The values are in Megabyte (MB).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>1.000</th>
<th>1.500</th>
<th>2.000</th>
<th>2.500</th>
<th>3.000</th>
<th>3.500</th>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>4.500</th>
<th>5.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processing</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AndroidPlot</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>29,4</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td>49,8</td>
<td>57,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AChartEngine</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>20,8</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td>22,8</td>
<td>24,7</td>
<td>28,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFREEChart</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>12,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Memory usage in Megabyte of mobile applications rendering varying number of lines between four libraries (ShinobiCharts is excluded as it fails to handle large number of lines)

Figure 5.3 shows that Processing levels off whereas the memory usage of AFREEChart increase up to 50% but uses still few memory. AndroidPlot doubles the used memory. AChartEngine has the biggest increase in memory usage. 3.5 times more memory is needed to draw the line.
charts in the experiment described in section 5.1. Except Processing all libraries increase the used memory nearly linearly with the number of lines being rendered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Number of lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ShinobiCharts</td>
<td>7 7.6 8.1 9.0 9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.4:** Memory usage in Megabyte of mobile applications rendering varying number of lines in ShinobiCharts

![Memory usage graph]

**Figure 5.4:** Memory usage in Megabyte of mobile applications rendering varying number of lines in ShinobiCharts

Figure 5.4 shows that the memory usage of ShinobiCharts increases linearly up to 40%.

### 5.4 Lines of code

In this section the implementation effort will be compared. The libraries are compared against each others by the lines of code used to draw the chart. Counted are only the lines that are necessary to draw the chart and not the number of lines of code to run the whole app.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Shinobi</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Androidplot</th>
<th>AChartEngine</th>
<th>AFreeChart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lines of code</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All libraries needed only a few lines of code. In Processing the fewest amount of lines of code is needed but no axis and legends are implemented. The lines of code vary especially due to setting the axis, the chart title and rendering parameter like stroke width, color and so on.

5.5 Discussion

The sections above show the results of the performance of the libraries tested. The next sections point out the advantages and disadvantages each library.

### AChartEngine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>advantages</th>
<th>disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>twelve charts</td>
<td>bad documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zoom, pan out of the box</td>
<td>high memory usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fast</td>
<td>most lines of code needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>direct manipulation possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Androidplot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>advantages</th>
<th>disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>good documentation</td>
<td>zoom, pan not out of the box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speed okay but getting slower with large data</td>
<td>high memory usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five charts</td>
<td>no direct manipulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AFreeChart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>advantages</th>
<th>disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>good documentation in combination with the JFreeChart documentation</td>
<td>slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>little memory used</td>
<td>no interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nine charts</td>
<td>direct manipulation possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>few lines of code needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Processing

Processing do not support charts out of the box but based on the very good documentation it is easy to create a user defined chart from the scratch with graphical primitives. Totally new types of representing data could be created.
As seen in the previous chapters and sections, there are a few libraries for charting and visualization on mobile devices. Implementing the tests has shown, that the libraries are easy to implement, when the app is set up correctly. Also there are only few lines of code needed to show a chart.

The range of interaction is quite limited. Some libraries like AChartEngine and Androidplot support zoom and pan whereas libraries like AFreeChart and ShinobiControls do not support any interaction.

The performance of AChartEngine and Processing remains constant when rendering large data. Other libraries are getting slower with the amount of data. ShinobiControls already gets slow with beyond 50 lines drawn in the chart.

Except for Processing, all libraries are limited to drawing a set of charts. Processing supports no charts out of the box but delivers an advanced visualization framework which is also available for other technologies such as Java or JavaScript.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>AChartEngine</th>
<th>Androidplot</th>
<th>AFreeChart</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>ShinobiCharts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Okay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>Zoom, pan</td>
<td>Not out of Box</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charts</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory usage</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct manipulation</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of code</td>
<td>Most needed</td>
<td>Okay</td>
<td>Few</td>
<td>Few</td>
<td>Much needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendering</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.11: Advantages and disadvantages of libraries
Conclusion

This thesis gives an overview of Java-based visualization libraries for mobile devices to help developers of mobile applications in choosing a library suited for their application.

The search for available libraries shows that commercial libraries generally support several chart types but do not provide detailed information about the popularity of the library in terms of number of downloads or mobile applications using it. On the other hand, the more an open source library is used, the better is its documentation and the information on usage statistics. The search shows that interaction with the rendered data is limited to some basic functionality and that the guaranteed future of the open source libraries is doubtful. This work provides detail feature analysis of available libraries, and compare them based on these features, to aid developers choosing a suited library for their application.

This work further conducts performance analysis of selected libraries, in terms of running time and memory usage. The performance test shows that assumptions like a library that uses GPU rendering should be faster are not necessarily correct. It also shows that the rendering duration can be a main criteria delivering a mobile application for large data. Another insight of the performance test is that the used memory should be kept in view.

In further work the libraries can be tested in a more detailed way to prove the correctness of the information of the product owner. Furthermore, other visualization frameworks for Java-based desktop application can be ported to Android as was done with AFreeChart, Droidcharts (both based on JFreeChart) or Processing.
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